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Cosmic rays: a 100 year-old mystery
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Cosmic ray – $\gamma$-ray – Neutrino connection

Cosmic accelerator (SNR, AGN, GRB, etc)

• Progenitor outflow
• Interstellar material
• Ambient photon field

Cosmic ray

$p + p \rightarrow \pi^0 \rightarrow \gamma + \gamma$
$p + \gamma \rightarrow \pi^\pm$
$\mu^\pm + \nu_\mu (\bar{\nu}_\mu)$
$e^\pm + \nu_e (\bar{\nu}_e) + \bar{\nu}_\mu (\nu_\mu)$

$p, \text{He, ...}$

Photons

Neutrinos
Neutrinos as Astronomical Messengers

- Cosmic accelerator
- CMB star-light
- p, He, ...
- Neutrino
- Neutrinos
- Photons
- Cosmic ray

Energy (eV):
- $10^9$
- $10^{12}$
- $10^{15}$
- $10^{18}$
- $10^{21}$
Neutrino interaction with matter

\[ \nu \rightarrow e, \tau, \nu_{e, \mu, \tau} \]

\[ W \rightarrow N \rightarrow N' \]

\[ Z \rightarrow N \rightarrow N' \]

\[ \theta_{\nu \mu} \sim 0.7^\circ \left( \frac{E_{\mu}}{10 \text{ TeV}} \right)^{0.7} \]

\[ \mu \text{ tracks (} \nu_{\mu} \text{)} \]

\[ \nu_{\mu} \rightarrow \mu \]

\[ > 3 \text{ km muon track} \]

\[ \theta_{\nu \mu} \]

\[ \text{Neutrino Telescope} \]

\[ \text{Cascade (} \nu_{e, \tau} \text{ CC, } \nu_{e, \mu, \tau} \text{ NC)} \]

\[ W \rightarrow e, \tau \]

\[ Z \rightarrow \nu_{e, \mu, \tau} \]
Why the South Pole?

Antarctic Ice is the most transparent natural solid known.

Average optical ice parameters:

- $\lambda_{\text{abs}} \sim 110 \text{ m} @ 400 \text{ nm}$
- $\lambda_{\text{sca}} \sim 20 \text{ m} @ 400 \text{ nm}$
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IceCube

2004 Project Start, 1 string
2011 Project completion, 86 strings

IceCube Lab

IceTop
81 Stations, each with
2 IceTop Cherenkov detector tanks
2 optical sensors per tank
324 optical sensors

IceCube Array
86 strings including 8 DeepCore strings
60 optical sensors on each string
5160 optical sensors
December, 2010: Project completed, 86 strings

DeepCore
8 strings-spacing optimized for lower energies
480 optical sensors

Eiffel Tower
324 m

Bedrock
Digital Optical Module

DOM+Main Board - a complete data acquisition system

- internal digitization (waveform digitizers) and time stamping
- the photonic output signals from the PMT
- wide dynamic range: from single p.e. to thousands p.e.
- performs PMT gain and time calibration
- power consumption 3W, deadtime < 1%, dark noise rate < 400 Hz

Fig. 3. A schematic view of an IceCube digital optical module.
# IceCube Detector Status, Rates

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strings</th>
<th>Data (year)</th>
<th>Livetime</th>
<th>$\mu$ rate (Hz)</th>
<th>HE $\nu$ rate (per day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AMANDA II (19)</td>
<td>2000-2006</td>
<td>3.8 years</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>5 / day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC40</td>
<td>2008-09</td>
<td>375 days</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>38 / day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC59</td>
<td>2009-10</td>
<td>360 days</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>129 / day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC79</td>
<td>2010-11</td>
<td>1 year</td>
<td>2250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IC86</td>
<td>2011-</td>
<td>13 days</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*DeepCore Completed*

*IC86 Run Start on May 13, 2011*
Background rejection

• Atmospheric $\nu$: $dN/dE \sim E^{-3.7}$
• Prompt atmospheric $\nu$: $dN/dE \sim E^{-2.8}$
• Extraterrestrial $\nu$: $dN/dE \sim E^{-2.0}$ (model)

background $\nu$
background $\nu$
signal $\nu$
Atmospheric muon neutrino spectrum

- IC40: 13,000 high-energy (E>100 GeV) atmospheric $\nu_\mu$ (95% purity)
- Flux consistent with previous measurement (Phys.Rev.D83:012001,2011)
• Cosmic rays blocked by the moon lead to a point-like deficit in the distribution of down-going muons in the detector.
Moon Shadow

- Cosmic rays blocked by the moon lead to a point-like deficit in the distribution of down-going muons in the detector.

Need high statistics and good angular resolution!
Moon Shadow

- Cosmic rays blocked by the moon lead to a point-like deficit in the distribution of down-going muons in the detector.

- Moon shadow seen with $\sim 10\sigma$
- Systematic pointing error less than $0.1^\circ$
107,569 neutrino candidates (64,230 atm. $\mu$ from southern hemisphere)

- Hottest spot (Ra=75.45, Dec=-18.15) not significant: 75.4% of trials have p-value value equal or lower than the observed one

Search for point sources: all-sky
Search for Diffuse Neutrino Fluxes

**Diffuse flux** = effective sum from all (unresolved) extraterrestrial sources (e.g. AGNs)

Possibility to observe diffuse signal even if flux from an individual source is too small to be detected by point source techniques.

- Search for excess of astrophysical neutrinos with a harder spectrum than background atmospheric neutrinos

- Advantage over point source search: can detect weaker fluxes

- Disadvantage: high background

- Sensitive to all three flavors of neutrinos
Search for Diffuse Neutrino Fluxes

Experimental upper limits on the diffuse flux of neutrinos from sources with $\Phi \sim E^{-2}$ energy spectrum

Below the Waxman - Bahcall bound

IceCube, arXiv: 1104.5187
IC40 high-energy cascade search

E = 175 TeV

E = 45 TeV
IC40 high-energy cascade search (preliminary)

- 14 events pass cuts
- Detailed examination of the 14 events indicates ~4 events look like background from high energy cosmic rays
- Generating more monte carlo to make a better estimate for CR backgrounds and expected number of atmospheric neutrino events
Gamma-Ray Bursts

Fireball model:

- Internal shocks in GRBs $\rightarrow$ acceleration for UHECRs.
- Neutrino production in $\gamma$-hadron interactions in fireball
GRB Analysis method

Use satellite measurements as trigger:

Look for neutrinos in the direction of GRB in a short (seconds to minutes) time window....
Search for neutrinos from GRBs, results

IC40: 117 Bursts
IC59: 109 Bursts (preliminary)
23 events at WB flux were expected, 0 observed
In 3 years IceCube will rule out fireball model or establish GRBs are not the only sources of UHECRs
Large-scale anisotropy of cosmic rays at 20 TeV

Milagro + IceCube TeV Cosmic Ray Data (10° Smoothing)
Cosmic Ray Anisotropy at 400 TeV

Origin of the anisotropy remains a mystery
Summary

• IceCube detector completed construction Dec 2010
  – Run start May 13, 2011
  – The era of km³ neutrino astronomy has begun!
• The 40 and 59 string data have already surpassed the expected performance of the full IceCube on a number of searches
• No neutrinos seen from GRB
  – Setting important limits on astrophysics of fireball model
• No sources of high energy extraterrestrial neutrinos found as of today
• The sensitivity increases with the detector size, the data taking and analyses techniques

cosmic ray (CR) spectrum, • CR composition • CR anisotropies • atmospheric neutrinos (oscillations, effects of quantum gravity, …) • neutrino point sources • gamma ray bursts • multimessenger approaches • diffuse ν fluxes • dark matter • magnetic monopoles • supernova bursts • shadow of the moon • atmosphere physics • glaciology • new technologies for highest energies (radio, acoustics) • DeepCore and low-energy analyses•